

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,031	07/21/2003	Gerd Frankowsky	10808/101	5111
48581	7590 06/13/2005		EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			NGUYEN, DANG T	
INFINEON PO BOX 1039	05		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	=		2824	

DATE MAILED: 06/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Supplemental Action	10/624,031	FRANKOWSKY, 6	GERD
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dang T. Nguyen	Supp	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearance All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu IGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not inclunication will be mailed in du	uded ue course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 5/2/2005.			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-29.			
3. The drawings filed on are accepted by the Examine	r.		
<ul> <li>4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)</li> <li>All b) Some* c) None of the:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>	e been received. e been received in Application	n No	action from the
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cuments have been received	i in this national stage appli	cation from the
* Certified copies not received:		•	
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.  5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers (a) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date ldentifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in to the deponsition of th	MENT of this application.  Mitted. Note the attached EXA es reason(s) why the oath or st be submitted.  Son's Patent Drawing Review.  S Amendment / Comment or	MINER'S AMENDMENT or declaration is deficient.  ( PTO-948) attached in the Office action of the drawings in the front (not the 1.121(d).	NOTICE OF
Attachment(s)  1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date  4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6.  Interview St. Paper No./ Paper No./ 7.  Examiner's 8.  Examiner's 9.  Other	formal Patent Application (Fummary (PTO-413), Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for A RICHARD ELMS SUPERVISORY PATENT EX TECHNOLOGY CENTER	Allowance  Allowance  Allowance

Application/Control Number: 10/624,031

Art Unit: 2824

## EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT /

Page 2

5. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 4, line 1 changes "4" To - -3- -

Claim 19, line 1, after "claim" adds - - 18 - -

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 2. Claims 1 29 are allowed.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claim 1, in addition to other elements in the respective claim, the prior art fails to teach or suggest "determining if a plurality of cells in an input register has a greater fail count than a fail count of a plurality of cells currently stored in said register; and replacing said address and a corresponding fail count in said register with said address and corresponding fail count in said input register if the fail count of said plurality of cells in said input register is greater than said fail count of said plurality of cells currently stored in said register".

With respect to claims 8 and 15, in addition to other elements in the respective claim, the prior art fails to teach or suggest "replacing a row address and a corresponding failure

count in said register if the corresponding fail count of said new row address is greater than a failure count of said row address stored in said register".

Page 3

With respect to claim 22, in addition to other elements in the respective claim, the prior art fails to teach or suggest "each comparator is coupled to the input register to receive the input fail count and coupled to one of said slots of the register to receive a fail count of the one of said slots of said register, the each said comparator comparing the input fail count of the input register and the fail count of the one of said slots of said register, the input fail count being transferred from the input register when the comparator determines that the input fail count exceeds the fail count".

With respect to claim 29, in addition to other elements in the respective claim, the prior art fails to teach or suggest "each comparator is coupled to the input register to receive from the input register an input fail count and coupled to one of said slots of said register to receive a fail count of one of said slots of said register, each said comparator comparing the input fail count of the input register and the fail count of the one of said slots of said register and generating a comparator signal in response to the comparison".

5. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

## Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Dang Nguyen, who can be reached by telephone at (571) 272-1955. Normal contact times are M-F, 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

Upon an unsuccessful attempt to contact the examiner, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms, may be reached at (571) 272-1869.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900. The faxed phone number for organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the Status of an application may be obtained from the patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or EBC@uspto.gov.

Dang Nguyen 6/10/2005